COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA

Copyright Regulations 1969

WARNING

This material has been reproduced and communicated to you by or on behalf of the University of Sydney pursuant to Part VB of the Copyright Act 1968 (**the Act**). The material in this communication may be subject to copyright under the Act. Any further copying or communication of this material by you may be the subject of copyright protection under the Act.

Do not remove this notice.

COMPx270: Randomised and Advanced Algorithms Lecture 8: Streaming and Sketching I

Clément Canonne School of Computer Science





Some housekeeping

- A2 due this Friday
- Office Hours (OH) tomorrow, 2:30-3:30pm in J12 402 (+Zoom)
- Preliminary marks for A1 released this evening on Gradescope

You have a graph, coming one edge at a time, with possible duplicates, and no paper to write anything done, only your memory. What is its average degree?

You have a graph, coming one edge at a time, with possible duplicates, and no paper to write anything done, only your memory. What is its average degree?

(1,2)

You have a graph, coming one edge at a time, with possible duplicates, and no paper to write anything done, only your memory. What is its average degree?

(2,4)

You have a graph, coming one edge at a time, with possible duplicates, and no paper to write anything done, only your memory. What is its average degree?

(1,2)

You have a graph, coming one edge at a time, with possible duplicates, and no paper to write anything done, only your memory. What is its average degree?

(4,5)

You have a graph, coming one edge at a time, with possible duplicates, and no paper to write anything done, only your memory. What is its average degree?

(4,5)

You have a graph, coming one edge at a time, with possible duplicates, and no paper to write anything done, only your memory. What is its average degree?

(3,4)

You have a graph, coming one edge at a time, with possible duplicates, and no paper to write anything done, only your memory. What is its average degree?

(3,6)

You have a graph, coming one edge at a time, with possible duplicates, and no paper to write anything done, only your memory. What is its average degree?

(1,4)

You have a graph, coming one edge at a time, with possible duplicates, and no paper to write anything done, only your memory. What is its average degree?

(4,6)

You have a graph, coming one edge at a time, with possible duplicates, and no paper to write anything done, only your memory. What is its average degree?

(3,5)

You have a graph, coming one edge at a time, with possible duplicates, and no paper to write anything done, only your memory. What is its average degree?

(3,4)

You have a graph, coming one edge at a time, with possible duplicates, and no paper to write anything done, only your memory. What is its average degree?

(4,5)

You have a graph, coming one edge at a time, with possible duplicates, and no paper to write anything done, only your memory. What is its average degree?

(3,4)

You have a graph, coming one edge at a time, with possible duplicates, and no paper to write anything done, only your memory. What is its average degree?

(3,6)

You have a graph, coming one edge at a time, with possible duplicates, and no paper to write anything done, only your memory. What is its average degree?

(1,4)

You have a graph, coming one edge at a time, with possible duplicates, and no paper to write anything done, only your memory. What is its average degree?

(4,6)

A question (an answer)



Streaming algorithms: what? (1/3)
. Low memory: cannot store the whole mput.
. hypet comes as a "stream": sequence 6 of longth (m) (could store thin

$$G = (\alpha_{1}, -, \alpha_{m})$$
 ($G = (\alpha_{1}, -, \alpha_{m})$) ($G = (\alpha_{1}, -, \alpha_{m})$ ($G = (\alpha_{1}, -, \alpha_{m})$) ($G = (\alpha_{1}, -, \alpha_{m})$ ($G = (\alpha_{1}, -, \alpha_{m})$) ($G = (\alpha_{1}, -, \alpha_{m})$ ($G = (\alpha_{1}, -, \alpha_{m})$) ($G = (\alpha_{1}, -, \alpha_{m})$ ($G = (\alpha_{1}, -, \alpha_{m})$) ($G = (\alpha_{$

Streaming algorithms: what? (2/3)



Streaming algorithms: what? (3/3)

- · MAJORITY (HEAVY HITTERS)
- . COUNTING

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{G}_{\tau} \left(a_{i}, -i, a_{m} \right) \in \left[n \right]^{m} \\ \forall j \in [n] \qquad & \beta_{j} = \#(times j appears) \cong \sum_{i=1}^{m} l_{a_{i} = j} \qquad & (l prequency l' \rightarrow n) \\ & \mathcal{O}_{\tau} \leq \beta_{j} \leq m \qquad , \qquad & \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{j} = m \\ & \qquad & \qquad & 1 \neq 1 \\ \hline & 1 \neq 1, \\ \hline & 1 \to 1, \\ \hline &$$



RANDOMNESS

First example: Majority



First example: the Misra-Gries algorithm (1/3)

$$A \leftarrow n \text{ zeroes} \qquad (k = \frac{1}{\epsilon})$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : gat a;$$

$$A + step i \in [m] : ga$$

First example: the Misra-Gries algorithm, alternative view (2/3)



11

٠. .

First example: the Misra-Gries algorithm (3/3)

Theorem 39. The MISRA-GRIES algorithm is a deterministic one-pass algorithm which, for any given parameter $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$, provides $\hat{f}_1, \ldots, \hat{f}_n$ of all element frequencies such that

$$f_j - \varepsilon m \leq \hat{f}_j \leq f_j, \qquad j \in [n]$$

with space complexity $s = O(\log(mn)/\varepsilon)$. (In particular, it can be used to solve the MAJORITY problem in two passes.)

Second example: Approximate Counting

$$n=2$$
 $a_i \in [0,1]$ Would $d=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i$
 $O(log m)$ exact is trunch
 $2 \cdot estimate : O(log log m) space$ Herris
Alt the and
 $E[2^{2}-1]?$
 $Van[2^{2}]?$
 $Van[2^{2}]?$
 $C_i = 2^{2}$ alstep i
End: subjut $2^{\infty}-1$
 $E[C]$
 $Van[C]$

•

Second example: Approximate Counting and the Morris Counter



Second example: the Morris Counter (#3)

$$E[C_{m}^{2}]? \qquad (\text{amput: } E[C_{i}^{2}].$$

$$E[C_{i+1}^{2}] = E[E[C_{i+1}^{2}|C_{i}]]$$

$$= E[\frac{1}{C_{i}}(2C_{i})^{2} + (1-\frac{1}{C_{i}})C_{i}^{2}]$$

$$= E[C_{i}^{2} + 3E[C_{i}] = E[C_{i}^{2} + 3C_{i}]$$

$$= E[C_{i}^{2}] + 3E[C_{i}]$$

$$= E[C_{i}^{2}] + 3(\sum_{j=1}^{r} a_{j} + 1)$$

$$E[C_{m}^{2}] = 1 + 3\frac{d(d+1)}{2} \qquad (\text{Var } C_{m} = EC_{m}^{2} - (d+1)^{2} = \frac{d(d-1)}{2}$$

Second example: the Morris Counter (2/3)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{C}_{m}] &= d+1 \\ V_{01}[\mathbb{C}_{m}] &= \frac{d(d-1)}{2} = \Theta(d^{2}) \\ \mathbb{B}_{ad} \\ (\text{Delaysher quees} \\ \mathbb{C}_{m} &= d+1 \\ \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{Var}\mathbb{C}_{m}) \\ \text{vacuous quorantee} \\ \mathbb{O}(d) \\ \mathbb{Voem} ? \end{aligned}$$



Second example: the Morris Counter, Median-of-Means

Theorem 40. The medians-of-means version of the MORRIS COUNTER is a randomised one-pass algorithm which, for any given parameters $\varepsilon, \delta \in (0, 1]$, provides an estimate \hat{d} of the number d of non-zero elements of the stream such that

$$\Pr\left[(1-\varepsilon)d \le \widehat{d} \le (1+\varepsilon)d\right] \ge 1-\delta$$

with space complexity

$$s = O\left(\frac{\log\log m}{\varepsilon^2} \cdot \log \frac{1}{\delta}\right)$$

that is, doubly logarithmic in m.

Did we need to do that?

No

Second example: the Morris Counter, careful version (1/3)

Increment
$$C \in 2C \quad \omega/p \quad \frac{1}{C}$$

Instead $C \in (1+\beta)C \quad \omega/p \quad p?$
When a:= $I = (1+\beta)C_i \cdot p + C_i(1-p)$
 $= C_i (\beta p + 1)$
 $= C_i + 1$

Second example: the Morris Counter, careful version (2/3)

Second example: the Morris Counter, careful version (3/3)

Theorem 41. The "careful" version of MORRIS COUNTER is a randomised one-pass algorithm which, for any given parameters ε , $\delta \in (0, 1]$, provides an estimate \hat{d} of the number d of non-zero elements of the stream such that

$$\Pr\left[(1-\varepsilon)d \le \widehat{d} \le (1+\varepsilon)d \right] \ge 1-\delta$$

with space complexity

$$s = O\left(\log\log m + \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \log \frac{1}{\delta}\right)$$

that is, doubly logarithmic in m and logarithmic in $1/\varepsilon$.

Third example: Distinct Elements

Third example: Distinct Elements, the Tidemark (AMS) algorithm (1/4)

Third example: Distinct Elements, the Tidemark (AMS) algorithm (2/4)

Third example: Distinct Elements, the Tidemark (AMS) algorithm (3/4)

Third example: Distinct Elements, the Tidemark (AMS) algorithm (4/4)

Third example: Distinct Elements, the Tidemark (AMS) algorithm (5/4?)

Theorem 42. The (median trick version of the) TIDEMARK (AMS) algorithm is a randomised one-pass algorithm which, for any given parameter $\delta \in (0, 1]$, provides an estimate \hat{d} of the number d of distinct elements of the stream such that, for some absolute constant C > 0,

$$\Pr\left[\frac{1}{C} \cdot d \le \hat{d} \le C \cdot d\right] \ge 1 - \delta$$

with space complexity

$$s = O\left(\log n \cdot \log \frac{1}{\delta}\right).$$

Can we do better?

Third example: Distinct Elements, the BJKST algorithm (1/4)

Third example: Distinct Elements, the BJKST algorithm (2/4)

Third example: Distinct Elements, the BJKST algorithm (4/4)

Theorem 43. The (median trick version of the) BJKST algorithm is a randomised one-pass algorithm which, for any given parameters $\varepsilon, \delta \in (0, 1]$, provides an estimate \hat{d} of the number d of distinct elements of the stream such that, for some absolute constant C > 0,

$$\Pr\left[\left(1-\varepsilon\right)\cdot d\leq \hat{d}\leq (1+\varepsilon)d\right]\geq 1-\delta$$

with space complexity

$$s = O\left(\left(\log n + \frac{\log(1/\varepsilon) + \log\log n}{\varepsilon^2}\right) \cdot \log \frac{1}{\delta}\right).$$

... Can we do better?