COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA

Copyright Regulations 1969

WARNING

This material has been reproduced and communicated to you by or on behalf of the University of Sydney pursuant to Part VB of the Copyright Act 1968 (**the Act**). The material in this communication may be subject to copyright under the Act. Any further copying or communication of this material by you may be the subject of copyright protection under the Act.

Do not remove this notice.

COMPx270: Randomised and Advanced Algorithms Lecture 3: Balls in Bins

Clément Canonne School of Computer Science







There are quite a few people in the classroom right now. What are the odds two of you (at least) have the same birthday?



Theorem. (The 🚔 paradox) If you gather 23 people in a room, then with probability at least 50% a pair will sharing their birthday.



Theorem. If you gather m people and give each a number uniform between 1 and n, then the probability p(m,n) that at least two have the same number is...

$$p_{m,n} = 1 - \frac{n!}{n^m (n-m)!} = 1 - \frac{m!}{n^m} \binom{n}{m}$$

Proof.



 $\texttt{DiscretePlot}[\{1/2, p[m, 250], p[m, 1000], p[m, 4000], p[m, 8000]\}, \{m, 1, 1000\}, \texttt{PlotRange} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}, \texttt{PlotLegends} \rightarrow \texttt{"Expressions"}]$



Now, for large values of "2"...

C: number of collisions when throwing m \bigotimes into n \boxtimes . What is c(m,n) = E[C]?



... and what is Var[C]?



$$\operatorname{Var}[C] = \binom{m}{2} \frac{1}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)$$

Now, we can use Chebyshev:

Pr[X = 0] ≤ 1/2

for m = $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$.

$$\operatorname{Var}[C] = \binom{m}{2} \frac{1}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)$$

Now, we can use Chebyshev:

Pr[X = 0] ≤ 1/2

for $m = \Omega(\sqrt{n})$. Is it tight?

$$\operatorname{Var}[C] = \binom{m}{2} \frac{1}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)$$

Now, we can use Chebyshev:

Pr[X = 0] ≤ 1/2

for m = $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$.

By Markov, we also have

 $Pr[X \neq 0] = Pr[X \ge 1] \le E[X] \le 1/2$ for m = O(√n).



Applications?

Bounding the variance: is it always that bad?

Two tricks (and even 3). () Von $\sum X_i \neq \sum Van X_i$ in general But "aftern" Van $\sum X_i \leq \sum Van X_i$ $X_{11-1}X_n$ "megatively correlated" (Van $X \leq E[X^2]$ (Van $X \leq E[X^2] = E[X^2] - E[X]^2 \leq E[X^2]$ (3) "Pairvirse independence" is enough (inlight back to it))

Coverage (Coupon collector)



"What is the expected number of balls M(n) we need to throw before each of the n bins contains at least one ball?"

Coverage (Coupon collector)



"What is the expected number of balls M(n) we need to throw before each of the n bins contains at least one ball?"

- Θ(n) ?
- Θ(n log n) ?
- $\Theta(n^2)$?
- Something else?



Coverage (Coupon collector)



"What is the expected number of balls M(n) we need to throw before each of the n bins contains <mark>at least one</mark> ball?"

Theorem. In expectation, $M(n) = \Theta(n \log n)$ balls. (Even more precisely: $n \ln n + O(n)$.) $htitin: throw n balls. Pr[no Pikachu] = (1 - \frac{1}{n})^n \ge \frac{1}{2}$ (. $\Pr[\exists Pokemon net seen] \leq n. (1-\frac{1}{n})^n \approx \frac{n}{n}$) n more: $E[7 = \frac{n}{e^2}$, n more: $\frac{h}{e^3}$

Proof. T_i: conditioned on having i-1 Pokemon,
what is the # of Pokelolo until I get
an ith Pokemon (new)
T_i=1

$$M(n) = T_i + - +T_n$$

 $E[M(n)] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[T_i] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n}{n-i+1}$
 $P_{r}[$ getting ith [I have i-1]
 $M(n) = \frac{n-(i-1)}{n} = \frac{n-(i-1)}{n} = \frac{n}{n-i+1} = \frac{n}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-i+1} \frac{1}{n-i+1}$
 $Geometric! E[T_i] = \frac{n}{n-i+1} = \frac{n}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-i+1} \frac{1}{n-i+1} = n + H_n$
 $T_i \sim Geom(\frac{n-i+1}{n}) = n + O(17)$



Load balancing

"What is the expected number of balls L(n) the fullest of the n bins contains after throwing n balls?"

Load balancing

"What is the expected number of balls L(n) the fullest of the n bins contains after throwing n balls?"

- Θ(1) ?
- Θ(log n) ?
- Θ (√n) ?
- Something else?





Load balancing

"What is the expected number of balls L(n) the fullest of the n bins contains after throwing n balls?"



 $\left(rac{n}{k}
ight)^k \leq \left(rac{n}{k}
ight) \leq \left(rac{e \cdot n}{k}
ight)^k$ Proof. $\Pr[L, \mathbb{R}] \leq \left(\frac{en}{k}\right)^k \cdot \frac{1}{nk} = \frac{e^k}{k} \mathcal{O}$ Pr[176] EEL] < \hat{Z} Pr[L>k] < \hat{Z} mu(nek, 1) < ne k PF[L2] < 1 $\leq \sum_{k=1}^{p} 1 + \sum_{k=l+1}^{n} \frac{ne^k}{k^k} \leq l + \sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty} \frac{ne^k}{k^k}$ ne - 1 for k>l+1 Pickl s.t $\leq l + O(1)$ Nead 2 ne < ll E X log n loglog n l= O(logn) works

Load balancing (a twist)



"Now, every time you throw a ball, it selects two bins at random, and goes to the least full of the two. What is the maximum expected load?"

Load balancing (a twist)



"Now, every time you throw a ball, it selects two bins at random, and goes to the least full of the two. What is the maximum expected load?"

- Θ(1) ?
- Θ(log log n) ?
- Θ (√log n) ?
- Something else?



Load balancing (a twist)



"Now, every time you throw a ball, it selects two bins at random, and goes to the least full of the two. What is the maximum expected load?"

Theorem. The expected maximum load now Θ(log log n).